

Information Sharing Systems: A Survey of Law Enforcement

July 31, 2006



The Justice Research and Statistics Association
777 North Capitol Street, N.E.
Suite 801
Washington, D.C. 20002

Acknowledgments

The Justice Research and Statistics Association would like to thank the Statistical Analysis Center Directors who were instrumental in providing the contact information for this survey. JRSA would also like to thank all of the analysts and officers who took the time to provide the detailed information requested.

Special thanks go to Robert Friedmann of the Georgia State University and Rick Rosenfeld of the University of Missouri – St. Louis for their patience and guidance.

This report was prepared by Lisa Walbolt Wagner, Project Manager.

Joan C. Weiss
Executive Director

This document was prepared by the Justice Research and Statistics Association under a grant awarded by Georgia State University. The opinions, findings, and recommendations expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of Georgia State University.

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	4
Method	5
Sample.....	5
Response	6
Results.....	6
Discussion	8
Next Steps	9
Appendix A: Surveys.....	10
Appendix B: Information Sharing Systems.....	13
National Systems	14
Regional Systems Across States	14
State and Local Systems	15

Introduction

Information sharing within and across agencies at the local, state, and federal levels is increasingly being emphasized. Criminal justice agencies are forming new relationships and are sharing information electronically at multiple levels. Going beyond the sharing of information among police departments, states are instituting systems that match multiple sources of data, combining law enforcement data, for example, with court and corrections data.

With the introduction of Extensible Markup Language, or XML, the dream of being able to share data across systems has become a reality. Systems no longer need to employ the same field lengths and variable names; with XML, data from different systems can be matched and translated into the same format. The use of XML allows agencies to think about sharing information not only among existing systems within their state but across states as well.

One difficulty with the recent boom in the development of these systems, however, is that it can be hard to know what information is being shared and who is sharing it. In many cases, multiple systems are being developed to cover overlapping areas. It is crucial that state criminal justice agencies become aware of such situations in order to encourage local agencies to work together rather than develop separate systems. With a finite amount of money, extending systems already in existence may allow more agencies to participate in the exchange of information, increasing the utility of these systems for practitioners and researchers.

Method

The goal of this project was to document the information sharing initiatives currently existing or being developed in the states. To accomplish this, we sent out two surveys. The first survey identified systems and contact information; the second survey gathered more detailed information about each system. The initial survey was distributed via email, while the second survey was posted online. Emails containing a link to the second survey were sent out (the survey could also be accessed from the JRSA Web site), and respondents were asked to complete a survey for each known system. Survey forms are available in Appendix A.

Sample

The first survey was sent via email to state Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) directors, Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program managers, law enforcement contacts, and to a law enforcement analyst listserv. This brief survey included an introduction to the project and a spreadsheet listing the known information sharing system initiatives. Respondents were asked to correct or complete entries in the spreadsheet and add systems where missing.

Emails containing a link to the second survey were sent to the contacts provided by the respondents of the initial survey. Emails were also sent to those in the first survey's sample who had a valid email address but who had not responded to the initial survey.

Response

The initial survey was directly sent to 712 contacts. The direct contacts included 609 law enforcement analysts (it is not clear how many analysts received the survey because the listserv membership changes regularly), 52 SAC directors, 45 UCR program managers, and 6 contacts who are both SAC directors and UCR program managers. Of these 712 contacts, 211 had email addresses that were no longer functional. Of the remaining 501 contacts, only 56 responded to the initial survey.

For the second survey, a total of 683 emails with a link to the survey were sent; 612 of these were valid email addresses. Response was again small, with 88 contacts completing 124 surveys covering 35 states.

Results

Survey respondents identified 266 information sharing systems either currently in place or in development; for a list of systems, please see Appendix B. Respondents indicated phase of development for 162 of the systems; most of these are operational (102), while the rest are in planning (34) or development (25).

When asked about the coverage of the systems, most respondents indicated that they participate in statewide systems (see Table 1).

Table 1. Coverage of Information Sharing Systems

National	Region Across States	Region in State	Statewide	Countywide
21 (10%)	22 (11%)	18 (9%)	105 (50%)	42 (20%)

Respondents were also asked how the data in these systems are used; the most frequent uses reported were for tactical analysis and crime analysis (Table 2). Few reported either extensive or detailed use of mapping (Table 3).

Table 2. Use of Data in Information Sharing Systems in Operational Systems

Crime Analysis	Crime Mapping	Crime Reports	Tactical Analysis	Strategic Analysis	Other Use
36 (45%)	21 (26%)	24 (30%)	45 (56%)	33 (41%)	33 (41%)

Table 3. Type of Mapping in Operational Systems

Electronic Pin	Thematic	Hot Spots	Compstat	Spatial Analysis	Other Mapping
15 (71%)	7 (33%)	11 (52%)	8 (38%)	11 (52%)	6 (29%)

Most of the information sharing systems are closed, with only participants being able to view or manipulate the information being collected. Twenty of the respondents reported that data are shared with outside agencies, while 11 reported that the information is made available to the public. Few of the systems (53) have associated Web sites; several of these are accessible only to participants.

Only two respondents indicated that current systems are not providing better information than was available previously. When asked how current systems could be improved, respondents offered a wide variety of responses. The most common suggestions were for systems to include additional agencies (5), incident reports (4), and photographs (4).

Discussion

The goal of this research was to identify information sharing systems that are in place or are being planned. Some additional questions were included in the survey to help determine how the systems are being used and by whom. It should be noted, however, that respondents provided inconsistent amounts of information. Therefore, little information is available for many of the systems identified in this project.

Although the response rate was well below what was anticipated, 266 systems were identified in 35 states and Canada. Most of these systems are statewide initiatives and have the potential to be accessible to every law enforcement agency in the state. Several countywide and regional systems are also in existence.

It is clear from the surveys that these systems are valuable to participants and are used for a variety of functions. Relatively few respondents, however, use the information for mapping purposes.

While it is not immediately clear what kinds of agencies are participating in these systems, it can be assumed from the agencies listed (where available) that most of the systems include agencies outside of law enforcement. For the systems whose users were identified, 67 (35%) are accessible only to law enforcement, while 124 (65%) share information with other justice agencies. Courts are most often connected to these systems, followed by correctional agencies.

Most agencies responding to the survey reported only one available system. Only nine of the local agencies reported having two or more available systems, and most of these do not include local systems.

Next Steps

The surveys used for this project were kept short and collected only basic information in order to increase the response rate. The next step in investigating the use and utility of current information sharing projects is to select certain systems for a more in-depth review. This review should focus on the following questions:

- What lessons were learned during the planning and implementation stages?
- What (if any) memoranda of understanding (MOUs) were signed by participating agencies?
- What information is shared?
- What agency maintains the system and information?
- How effective is the system?
- What results have been achieved?
- What additional information would users like to see shared (or made available)?
- What additional functionality within the systems would users like?

Appendix A: Surveys

Survey 1

JRSA Information Sharing Survey

The Justice Research and Statistics Association is compiling a list of information sharing systems used by law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. If your agency participates in or knows of an electronic information sharing system between agencies in different localities, including state agencies (other than NIBRS), please tell us about the system. We have attached a list of the systems we have identified; please add new information or complete and update the existing information directly in the spreadsheet. If a system is no longer in existence, please indicate that as well. If you are unable to read the attached spreadsheet, please let me know by email (lwagner@jrja.org) or phone (202-842-9330).

Once updated, please email this survey and spreadsheet to lwagner@jrja.org or fax the information to (202) 842-9329. Once a final list has been compiled, all respondents will receive a copy, if requested. If you would like additional information on JRSA, please visit our Web site, www.jrja.org.

1. Did you make changes to the spreadsheet?

Yes No

2. Once the list is updated by all respondents, would you like a copy?

Yes No

Thank you for your time!

Survey 2

Agency Name:

Your Name:

State:

Phone/Email:

Role/Position:

The Justice Research and Statistics Association is compiling information about data sharing systems used by law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. If your agency participates in or knows of a criminal justice electronic information sharing system between agencies in different localities, including state agencies (other than NIBRS), please tell us about the system. Please take the time to complete the survey below; if your agency participates in more than one sharing initiative, please complete a survey for each system. This survey can be returned to Lisa Wagner via email [lwagner@jrja.org] or fax [(202) 842-9329]. If you would like additional information on JRSA, please visit our Web site, www.jrja.org.

Name of the information sharing system:

When was the system established?

What agencies share information?

Is the information sharing system you're connected to include agencies within:

one county

a region within the state

a region across states

state-wide

available nationally

Is there an available record layout or format that outlines what particular information is shared? If so, can we receive a copy?

Does your system link to the State Uniform Crime Reporting Program?

Who in your agency uses the system?

Is the information used for:

Crime analysis, crime mapping, crime reports, tactical purposes, strategic purposes

Is the information shared outside of your agency (public officials, news media, etc)?

If yes, with whom?

What do you find is the most important information that is shared?

In addition to what information is currently shared, what additional information would you find useful to share?

Appendix B: Information Sharing Systems

National Systems

- *Arson & Explosives National Repository (AEXIS)* – Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives
- *Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS)* – Federal Bureau of Investigation
- *Bomb Arson Tracking System (BATS)* - Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives
- *CrimeDex* – American Criminal Investigators Network
- *High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Deconfliction (HIDTA)*
- *ISO ClaimSearch* – National Insurance Crime Bureau
- *Law Enforcement Online (LEO)* – Federal Bureau of Investigation
- *Leads Online*
- *MATRIX*
- *MINE*
- *National Adult Compact Information System (NACIS)*
- *National Crime Information Center (NCIC)* – Federal Bureau of Investigation
- *National Data Exchange (NDEx)* – Federal Bureau of Investigation
- *New York State Police Information Network (NYSPIN)* – New York Division of Criminal Justice Services
- *National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS)*
- *P2P*
- *Regional Information Sharing Systems (RISSNet)*
- *SafeTNet*
- *Violent Criminal Apprehension Program (VICAP)* – Federal Bureau of Investigation

Regional Systems Across States

- *Automated Regional Information Exchange System (ARIES)* – California and Nevada
- *Baltimore/Washington Regional Crime Analysis System (RCAS)* – District of Columbia and Maryland
- *Beyond 20/20* – Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island and Vermont
- *Case Explorer* – District of Columbia and Maryland
- *Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Network (formally Southwest Border States Anti-Drug Information System) (CISAnet SWBSADIS)* – Alabama, Arizona, California, Georgia, Idaho, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico and Texas
- *ETAC (CrimeMatrix)* – Kansas and Missouri
- *HIDTA Automated Tracking System (HATS)* – District of Columbia and Maryland
- *Memphis Police Department Kiosk* – Mississippi and Tennessee

- *Metro Info-Web Ring* – Kansas and Missouri
- *Middle Atlantic-Great Lakes Organized Crime Law Enforcement Network (MAGLOCLEN)* – Delaware, District of Columbia, Indiana, Maryland, Michigan, New Hampshire, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Canada
- *Mid-States Organized Crime Information Center (MOCIC)* – Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin and Canada
- *National Capitol Region Law Enforcement Information Exchange (LINx-Capitol)* – District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia
- *New England State Police Information Network (NESPIN)* – Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont and Canada
- *Northern Virginia Regional Gang Database* – District of Columbia and Virginia
- *Regional Information Sharing Systems* – Kansas and Missouri
- *Regional Intelligence Centers*
- *Regional Organized Crime Information Center (ROCIC)* – Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands
- *Rocky Mountain Information Network (RMIN)* – Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming and Canada
- *SHIELD* – District of Columbia, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania and Virginia
- *Southeast Law Enforcement Alliance Project (SELEAP)* – Florida and Georgia
- *Southern Ontario Robbery Investigators*
- *Tristate Investigators* – Colorado, Nebraska and Wyoming
- *Western States Information Network (WSIN)* – Alaska, California, Hawaii, Guam, Oregon, Washington and Canada

State and Local Systems

Alabama

Statewide

- *Alaska Law Enforcement Information Sharing System (ALEISS)*
- *Alaska Public Safety Information Network (APSIN)* – Department of Public Safety

Regional

- *Southwest Alabama Integrated Criminal-Justice System (SAICS)*

Unspecified Region

- *Law Enforcement Data System (LEDS)*
- *Law Enforcement Tactical System (LETS)* – Alabama Criminal Justice Information Center

Alaska

Unspecified Region

- *Multi Agency Justice Integration Consortium (MAJIC)*

Arizona

Statewide

- *Arizona Criminal Justice Integrated Information System* – Arizona Criminal Justice Commission

Countywide

- *Coconino County Criminal Justice Integration Project* – Coconino County
- *Maricopa County Integrated Criminal Justice Information System* – Maricopa County
- *Pinal County Justice Integration Project* – Pinal County
- *West Valley Information Sharing Enterprise* – West Valley County

Unspecified Region

- *Adult Probation Information System*
- *Automated Order of Protection File*
- *CopLink*
- *Juvenile Online Tracking System (JOLTS)*

Arkansas

Statewide

- *Arkansas Crime Information Center System* – Arkansas Crime Information Center

Countywide

- *Arkansas Integrated Justice Information System* – Faulkner County

California

Statewide

- *California Integrated Criminal Justice Enterprise Information System*
- *Statewide Integrated Narcotics System (SINS)* – California Attorney General's Office

Regional

- *Kern/Marin County Criminal Justice Information System*

Countywide

- *Automated Regional Justice Information System (ARJIS)* – San Diego County
- *Butte Justice Agencies Data Sharing (BJADS)* – Butte County

- *CopLink* – Ocean County
- *Los Angeles County Consolidated Criminal History Reporting System (CCHRS)* – Los Angeles County
- *Sacramento County Integrated Justice Information Systems Project (IJIS)* – Sacramento County
- *Shasta Integrated Public Safety Systems (IPSS)* – Shasta County

Unspecified Region

- *California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)*
- *Multi-Agency Records System (MARS) and SuperQuery System*

Colorado

Statewide

- *Colorado Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (CICJIS)* – Colorado Department of Public Safety
- *Colorado Justice Analytics Support System (CJAAS)* – Colorado Department of Public Safety
- *CopLink*

Countywide

- *CCIC/NCIC, Spillman Records System* – Mesa County
- *Jefferson County Law Enforcement Sharing System (JCLESS)* – Jefferson County

Unspecified Region

- *CADmine by Corona Solutions*
- *Intergraph Public Safety System*

Connecticut

Statewide

- *Connecticut Crime Mapping Incident Database*
- *Statewide Police Intelligence Network (SPIN-CT)* – Connecticut State Police

Unspecified Region

- *COLLECT System* – Department of Public Safety
- *Dept of Corrections Inmate Sentencing Automation*
- *Offender Based Tracking System (OBTS)*
- *Order Registry Integration Enhancement*

Delaware

Statewide

- *Delaware Criminal Justice Information System (DELJIS)*

Unspecified Region

- *Crash Outcome Data Evaluation System (CODES)*

District of Columbia

Statewide

- *District of Columbia Justice Information Systems (JUSTIS)*
- *MPD Joint Operations Command Center* – Metropolitan Police Department
- *Washington Area Law Enforcement System (WALES)*

Florida

Statewide

- *eINTEL Group* – Florida Intelligence Unit
- *Electronic Monitoring, Protection, and Crime Tracking (EMPACT)/Crimetrax*
- *Florida Criminal and Juvenile Justice Information System* – Florida Department of Law Enforcement
- *Florida Data Exchange (FLEX)* – Florida Department of Law Enforcement
- *Florida Integrated Crime Research System (iCRIS)* – Florida Department of Law Enforcement
- *Florida Integrated Network for Data Exchange and Retrieval (FINDER)* – Police Safety and Technology Center
- *Florida Intelligence Site (InSite)* – Florida Department of Law Enforcement
- *Florida's Integrated Criminal History System (Falcon)* – Florida Department of Law Enforcement
- *Jail Management Systems Network* – Florida Department of Law Enforcement
- *Threatnet / Insite*

Regional

- *CopLink*
- *Tampa Bay Security Network (TBSN)*

Countywide

- *Central Pawn* – Pinellas County
- *Dade County Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS)* – Dade County
- *Enforcer* – Pinellas County
- *Palm Beach County Data Sharing* – Palm Beach County
- *Pinellas CJIS* – Pinellas County
- *PTIOPS* – Pinellas County
- *Sarasota County Criminal Justice Information System* – Sarasota County

Unspecified Region

- *Electronic Transmission of Commitment*
- *Simultaneous Paperless Image Retrieval Information Technology (SPIRIT)*

Georgia

Statewide

- *Georgia Criminal Justice Record Improvement Plan* – Georgia Bureau of Investigation

Countywide

- *Gwinnett County Government* – Gwinnett County
- *Henry County Consolidated Justice Group* – Henry County
- *Paulding County System* – Paulding County

Hawaii

Statewide

- *Hawaii Criminal Justice Information System* – Hawaii Criminal Justice Data Center
- *Hawaii Law Enforcement Information Exchange (LinX-Hawaii)*
- *Juvenile Justice Information System* – Department of Attorney General

Illinois

Statewide

- *Illinois Citizen and Law Enforcement Analysis and Reporting (I-CLEAR)* – Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
- *Illinois Integrated Justice Information System* – Illinois State Police
- *Police Information Management System (PIMS)* – Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
- *State of Illinois Information Sharing System*

Countywide

- *McHenry County Integrated Justice System Project* – McHenry County
- *McLean County Integrated Justice Information System* – McLean County

Unspecified Region

- *Citizen and Law Enforcement Analysis and Reporting (CLEAR)* – Chicago Police Department

Indiana

Statewide

- *Homeland Security Communication Management System*
- *Hoosier SAFE-T* – Indiana State Police

Regional

- *SW Indiana Disaster Resistant Community Corporation*

Unspecified Region

- *Commercial Vehicle Crash Analysis*
- *Crash Outcome Data Evaluation System (CODES)*
- *Enhanced Crash Forms*

Iowa

Statewide

- *CopLink*
- *Iowa Justice Information System*
- *Law Enforcement Intelligence Network (LEIN-Iowa)* – Department of Public Safety
- *Traffic and Criminal Software (TRACS)*

Countywide

- *CrimeView* – Polk County
- *ILEADS CAD/RMS* – Polk County

Unspecified Region

- *Kaleidoscope*

Kansas

Statewide

- *Kansas Criminal Justice Information System*
- *Total Offender Activity Documentation System*

Countywide

- *Intergraph ILEADS Records Management* – Johnson County

Kentucky

Statewide

- *Unified Criminal Justice Information System*

Unspecified Region

- *E-Warrants*

Louisiana

Statewide

- *Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System* – Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

Maryland

Statewide

- *Maryland Criminal Justice Information Advisory Board Interoperability*
- *Maryland Integrated Inter-Agency Justice Information System (MIJIS)* – Department of Public Safety

Regional

- *Regional Crime Analysis Program* – Baltimore Area

Countywide

- *Caroline County Info System* – Caroline County

Unspecified Region

- *Maryland Vehicle Theft Prevention Council*
- *Regional Crime Analysis Geographic Information System (RCAGIS)*

Massachusetts

Statewide

- *Massachusetts Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS)* – Massachusetts Office of Public Safety
- *RAMS* – Massachusetts State Police

Michigan

Statewide

- *IServices Gateway* – Michigan State Police
- *Michigan Criminal Justice Information System* – Michigan State Police
- *Michigan Department of State Police System* – Michigan State Police
- *State Network of Agency Photos (SNAP)* – Michigan State Police

Countywide

- *Berrien County Criminal Justice Information System* – Berrien County
- *Saginaw County Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS)* – Saginaw County

Unspecified Region

- *Crime Connect*

Minnesota

Statewide

- *Integrated Search Services* – Bureau of Criminal Apprehension
- *Minnesota Criminal Justice Information Network (CrimNet)*

Missouri

Statewide

- *Missouri Integrated Justice Information System*
- *Missouri Statewide Police Intelligence Network (MoSPIN)* – Missouri State Highway Patrol
- *Traffic Arrest/DWI Tracking System* – Missouri State Highway Patrol

Unspecified Region

- *DWI Tracking System*– Missouri State Highway Patrol

Montana

Statewide

- *Montana Criminal Justice Information Services Project* – Montana Department of Justice
- *Montana Department of Justice System* – Montana Department of Justice

Nebraska

Statewide

- *Nebraska Criminal Justice Information System (NCJIS)* – Nebraska Crime Commission
- *State of Nebraska System*

Nevada

Statewide

- *Nevada Criminal Justice Information System* – Nevada Highway Patrol

Unspecified Region

- *Fatal Accident Reporting System (FARS)*
- *State, Regional, and Federal Enterprise Retrieval System (SRFERS)*

New Jersey

Statewide

- *Statewide Intelligence Management System (SIMS)*

Unspecified Region

- *Criminal Justice Information System Committee Master Plan*

New Mexico

Statewide

- *New Mexico Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS)* – Department of Public Safety
- *New Mexico Justice Information Sharing Project*– Department of Public Safety
- *New Mexico Law Enforcement Information Exchange (LINx-New Mexico)*

New York

Statewide

- *County-Wide Information System Network (CISNet)*
- *EJusticeNY* – New York Division of Criminal Justice Services
- *Photo Imaging Mug Shot System (PIMS)*
- *Unified Drug Intelligence System* – New York Division of Criminal Justice Services

Countywide

- *New York City Criminal Justice Data Sharing Project*

Unspecified Region

- *Compstat*
- *IMPACT*

North Carolina

Statewide

- *CJIN Statewide Automated Fingerprint ID System* – North Carolina Bureau of Investigation
- *CJIN Statewide Magistrates System* – North Carolina Bureau of Investigation
- *CJIN Statewide Mobile Data Network* – North Carolina Bureau of Investigation
- *GangNet* – Governor’s Crime Commission

Unspecified Region

- *CJIN-NCAWARE* – North Carolina Courts
- *E-Citation Initiative*

North Dakota

Statewide

- *North Dakota Criminal Justice Information Sharing Plan*

Ohio

Statewide

- *Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS)* – Department of Public Safety
- *Ohio Criminal Justice Information System* – Department of Public Safety
- *Ohio Local Law Enforcement Information Sharing Network (OLLEISN)* – Ohio Association of Chiefs of Police
- *Task Force Information System (TFIS)* – Department of Public Safety

Oklahoma

Statewide

- *Oklahoma Integrated Criminal Justice Information System*

Oregon

Statewide

- *Oregon Public Safety Information Sharing System*

Unspecified Region

- *Oregon Criminal Justice Information Standards Program (CJIS)*
- *Regional Automated Information Network*

Pennsylvania

Statewide

- *Pennsylvania Criminal Network (cNET)* – Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association
- *Pennsylvania Justice Network (JNET)*

Unspecified Region

- *Automated Intelligence System*

Rhode Island

Unspecified Region

- *J-Link*

South Carolina

Statewide

- *South Carolina Criminal Justice Information System Initiative* – South Carolina Law Enforcement Division
- *South Carolina Information Exchange (SCIEx)* – South Carolina Law Enforcement Division

Regional

- *Low Country Information Technology improvement Project (ITIP)*

Unspecified Region

- *Information Technology Improvement Program*

South Dakota

Statewide

- *State of South Dakota System* – South Dakota Division of Criminal Investigation

Unspecified Region

- *Regional Information Sharing Systems*

Tennessee

Statewide

- *Automated Criminal Intelligence System of Tennessee (ACIST)* – Tennessee Bureau of Investigation
- *Tennessee Information Enforcement System (TIES)* – Tennessee Bureau of Investigation
- *Tennessee's Integrated Criminal Justice Project*

Regional

- *CopLink*

Countywide

- *Citrix MetaFrame Database* – Sumner County
- *Davidson County Criminal Justice Information System* – Davidson County
- *Knox County Justice Information Management System (JIMS)* – Knox County

Unspecified Region

- *Automation of the Criminal Case Judgment Document*

Texas

Statewide

- *Common Integrated Justice System (CIJS)*
- *Texas Fusion Center* – Department of Public Safety
- *Texas Incident Awareness and Reporting System (TIARS)*
- *Texas Integrated Justice Information System* – Department of Public Safety
- *Texas Narcotics Information System (TNI)* – Department of Public Safety

Regional

- *Law Enforcement Alliance Project (LEAP)* – Corpus Christi Area

Countywide

- *Fort Bend County System* – Fort Bend County
- *Harris County Justice Information Management System (JIMS)* – Harris County
- *PD MetroNet* – Dallas County

Utah

Statewide

- *Utah Law Enforcement Information Network (ULEIN)* – Utah Department of Public Safety
- *Utah's Integrated Information System (UCJIS)* – Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice

Countywide

- *Utah Criminal Justice Information System Local Record Management System (UCJIS LRMS)* – Salt Lake County

Unspecified Region

- *Automated Office of the Courts Information System* – Administrative Office of the Courts
- *Integrated Criminal Justice Information System*
- *Juvenile Court Information* – Administrative Office of the Courts
- *Offender Tracking System*
- *Police Record Management Systems*

Vermont

Statewide

- *Statewide Police Intelligence Network (SPIN-VT)* – Vermont Department of Public Safety
- *Vermont Criminal Justice Integration Services*

Virginia

Statewide

- *Integrated Criminal Justice Information System* – Department of Criminal Justice Services

Regional

- *Comprehensive Regional Information Management Exchange System* – Hampton Roads area
- *Hampton Roads Law Enforcement Information Exchange (LINx-SE Virginia)*
- *OSSI Pistol* – Charlottesville area
- *Rappahannock Regional Crime Information Network*
- *Virginia Beach- LINx*

Countywide

- *Integrated Criminal History Record Information System* – Rockingham County

Unspecified Region

- *Mountain Empire Criminal Justice Information Network*

Washington

Statewide

- *Jail Booking and Reporting System* – Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs
- *Washington Justice Information Network (JIN)* – Department of Information Services

Regional

- *Northwest Law Enforcement Information Exchange (LINx-Northwest)*
- *Seattle Justice Information System (SeaJIS)*

Countywide

- *Electronic Police Reporting* – Clark County
- *Regional Automated Information Network (RAIN)* – King County

Unspecified Region

- *Portland Police Data System*

Wisconsin

Statewide

- *Consolidated Court Automation Programs (CCAP)* – Wisconsin Courts
- *District Attorney Inter-County Query*
- *Wisconsin Justice Information Sharing (WIJIS)* – Wisconsin Office of Justice Assistance
- *Wisconsin Law Enforcement Intelligence Network (WistLEIN)* – Wisconsin Department of Justice

Regional

- *VisionAIR*

Unspecified Region

- *Crash Outcome Data Evaluation System (CODES)*
- *CRIME*
- *DOC Locator*
- *Justice Integration Layer (Standard Method for Justice Interfaces)*
- *Simple Object Access Protocol Data Transition*